

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 of the drawings was objected to in that blocks 1, 6, and 7 did not have descriptive legends. A new sheet with FIG.1 thereon is submitted herewith including the appropriate legends.

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1-18 are cancelled and new claims 19-43 are added.

**35 USC § 102 Rejections**

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 10, 12, and 15-18 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hafner(5,167,385). Although the rejection is traversed, the new set of claims have limitations to more particularly distinguish the invention over Hafner.

The examiner indicated claim 3, 8, 9, 11, 13, and 14 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With respect to the new claims, independent claim 19 has all of the limitations of previous claim 3 and previous independent base claim 1.

New independent claim 30 has all of the limitations of previous claim 8, intermediate and base claims 6, 5, and 1.

New independent claim 33 has all of the limitations of previous claim 11 and base claim 1.

New independent claim 34 has all of the limitations of previous claim 13 and base claim 1.

New independent claim 36 corresponds to and has limitations of previous claim 3 and base claim 1. Additionally, this claim has limitations of previous claim 15.

New independent claim 37 corresponds to and has limitations of previous claim 11 and base claim 1. Additionally, this claim includes limitations of previous claim 15

New independent claim 38 corresponds to and has limitations of previous claim 3 and base claim 1. Additionally, this claim includes limitations of previous claim 16.

New independent claim 39 corresponds to and has limitations of previous claim 11 and base claim 1. Additionally, this claim includes limitations of previous claim 16.

Thus, all of the independent claims have at least the limitations that correspond to the specific dependent, intermediate, and base claims that were indicated allowable by the examiner. The balance of the claims, all dependent claims, should also be allowable in that they merely add further limitations to the independent claims identified above that are currently believed to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if the Examiner believes it would be useful to advance prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas J. Christensen  
Registration No. 35,480

Customer No. 24113  
Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A.  
4800 IDS Center  
80 South 8th Street  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2100  
Telephone: (612) 349-3001



Application No. 10/517329  
Amendment Dated October 17, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of June 22, 2006  
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes




Figure 1